

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/770,725	Applicant(s) YANG ET AL.
	Examiner Jonathan S. Crepeau	Art Unit 1745

All Participants:**Status of Application:** on appeal(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(3) _____.

(2) Kevin Brown.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Kurose

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant's representative and informed him that the Office's position was that the rejection grounds involving the Kurose reference was considered to be a new ground of rejection. Examiner offered Applicants the option of having prosecution reopened immediately or proceeding with a second Examiner's Answer stating the new ground of rejection. Applicants opted to proceed with the second Examiner's Answer.